

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-10/633,231	08/02/2003	Daniel D. Coppens	QFIX-3	2448
54884 7590 08/21/2007 GOMEZ INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE, LLC 1501 N. RODNEY STREET			EXAMINER	
			SAFAVI, MICHAEL	
SUITE 101 WILMINGTON, DE 19806		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WIEWINGTO	110N, DE 17000		3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/633,231	COPPENS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		M. Safavi	3637
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dienoeiti	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-17,19-26,28-36 and 38-47</u> is/4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11,13-16,20,31,32,</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>17,19,21-26,28-30 and 33-35</u> is/are re Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	36 and 38-47 is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.
Applicati	on Papers		• .
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3637

#### **Amendment**

The amendment to the claims filed on June 13, 2007 does not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121(c) because the amendment presents claims 41-46 with an inappropriate status identifier. Claims 41-46 are currently withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of the invention.

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-11, 13-16, 20, 31, 32, 36, and 38-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of the invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 29, 2005.

Applicant's remarks within the first paragraph on page 8 of the response of June 13, 2007 have been reviewed. However, claims 41-46 appear directed to a non-elected species of the invention. Thus, claims 41-46, along with claims 1-11, 13-16, 20, 31, 32, 36, and 38-40, and 47, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3637

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 21-26, 28, and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver et al. '116 in view of Wark et al. '758.

Oliver et al. '116 discloses, Figs. 1 and 2, a patient support device having at least one index positioning means 15 on a first side and at least one index positioning means 15 on a second side. The sidewalls can be seen as chamfered. An accessory device removably secured to the support is as shown in Fig. 4. An accessory device adaptor is at 20 with an attachment feature 30/40, (in the form of a clamp, cam lock, interference fit clip, pin, post and bar), on the first end of the adaptor for mateably joining the adaptor to the at least one positioning feature on the first side, an element 25 on the second end in contact with the second side of the patient support device, and an attachment means, (as can be seen in Fig. 4), for mateably joining and securing an accessory device to the accessory device adaptor. Oliver et al. does not appear to specifically disclose a "wherein the at least one positioning feature on the first side is asymmetrically spaced from the at least one positioning feature on the second side such that the area directly opposing the at least one positioning feature on the first side and the at least one positioning feature on the second side such that the area directly opposing the at least one positioning feature on the first side and the at least one

However, Wark et al. '758 teaches providing an index positioning means along one or both side edges of a patient support device, col. 4, lines 63-67. Further, it has been well established that omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function of the element is not desired. See *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ 2031 (Bd. Pat. App.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,231

Art Unit: 3637

& Inter. 1989). See also *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965) and *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975).

Therefore, to have formed the Oliver et al. '116 support device with a given longitudinal positioning means along only one edge, (i.e., establish a positioning means 15 on only one edge alternating from one side edge to the other along the length of the support device), thus providing the necessary positioning means along either side of the support without undue work on the table stock, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as is taught by Wark et al. '758. The resulting support of Oliver et al. '116 would thus possess at least one index positioning means 15 on a first side being asymmetrically spaced from an at least one index positioning means 15 on the second side "such that the area directly opposing the at least one positioning feature on the first side and the at least one positioning feature on the second side is free of a positioning feature".

Claims 17, 19, 21-26, 28, 29, 30, and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO Publication WO 01/35828, (WIPO '828) in view of Oliver et al. '116 and in view of Wark et al. '758.

WIPO '828 discloses, Figs. 1 and 4, a patient support device having at least one index positioning means, (any portion or extent of the edge), on a first side and at least one index positioning means, (any portion or extent of the edge), on a second side with the at least one index positioning means on a first side being asymmetrically spaced from an at least one index positioning means on the second side, (e.g., one portion of

Application/Control Number: 10/633,231

Art Unit: 3637

the edge on a first side is asymmetrically spaced from one offset portion or extent on a second side). The sidewalls can be seen as chamfered with the top surface extending laterally beyond at least one sidewall to form a lip. As such, the indexing means is formed or "contained" in the lip. An accessory device removably secured to the support is at 18/20.

Arguments to each of Oliver et al. '116 and Wark et al. '758 can be found above. To have provided the WIPO '828 support table 10 with index positioning means in the form of a notch along opposite edges thereof as well as provide for both an accessory attachment means and an accessory device, thus allowing for attachment of a patient restraint accessory in an indexing approach, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Oliver et al. '166.

Further, Wark et al. '758 teaches providing an index positioning means along one or both side edges of a patient support device, col. 4, lines 63-67. And, it has been well established that omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function of the element is not desired. See Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ 2031 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989). See also In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965) and In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975).

Therefore, to have further modified the resulting positioning means so as to have a notch along only one edge, (i.e., establish a positioning means 15 on only one edge alternating from one side edge to the other along the length of the support device), thus providing the necessary positioning means along either side of the support without undue work on the table stock, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3637

the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Wark et al. '758 particularly since it has been well established that omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function of the element is not desired. See *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ 2031 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989). See also *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965) and *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975). The resulting support of WIPO '828 would thus possess at least one index positioning means 15 on a first side and at least one index positioning means 15 on a second side with the at least one index positioning means 15 on a first side being asymmetrically spaced from an at least one index positioning means 15 on the second side "such that the area directly opposing the at least one positioning feature on the first side and the at least one positioning feature on the second side is free of a positioning feature".

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17,19,21-26,28-30 and 33-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,231 Page 7

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHOHAEL SATTO